

# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE		FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/773,207	10/773,207 02/09/2004		Hidemasa Sawada	118598 6753	
25944	7590	01/25/2005		EXAMINER	
OLIFF & B		E, PLC	EVANS, ANDREA HENCE		
P.O. BOX 19928 ALEXANDRIA, VA 22320				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
,				2854	-
				DATE MAILED: 01/25/2005	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			A·H			
	Application No.	Applicant(s)	<u>L'-1!</u>			
	10/773,207	SAWADA ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Andrea H. Evans	2854				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period was preply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	66(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days fill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this comn D (35 U.S.C. § 133).	nunication.			
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 30 De	ecember 2004.					
· <u> </u>	action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowan	,		erits is			
closed in accordance with the practice under E	x parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 45	53 O.G. 213.				
Disposition of Claims						
4) Claim(s) 1-5 is/are pending in the application.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw	vn from consideration.					
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-5</u> is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.	- ala atian manuina mant					
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	election requirement.					
Application Papers						
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.					
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) dipected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
The bath of declaration is objected to by the Ex	aminer. Note the attached Office	Action or form PTO-	·152.			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12)⊠ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a)⊠ All b)□ Some * c)□ None of:						
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage						
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).						
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
Attachment(s)		•				
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)     Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	4) ☐ Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da					
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 2/9/04.	5) Notice of Informal P		52)			

Application/Control Number: 10/773,207

Art Unit: 2854

### **DETAILED ACTION**

Page 2

#### Election/Restrictions

1. Applicant's election with traverse of claims 1-4 in the reply filed on 12/30/04 is acknowledged. The traversal is on the ground(s) that examination would not cause a serious burden to the Examiner. This is found persuasive in view of Applicant's remarks and the restriction requirement is withdrawn.

#### Claim Objections

2. Claim 3 is objected to because of the following informalities:

Claim 3 recites the limitations "the particles" and "the liquid" in lines 2 and 3. There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim.

Appropriate correction is required.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

3. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 4. Claims 1-3 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Uzukawa et al (5120359).

Referring to claim 1, Uzukawa teaches an ink, comprising a pigment and a resin emulsion, wherein the weight ratio between the pigment and the resin emulsion by solid basis is between 1:0.7 and 1:3.0. (See Example 11, Column 8, lines 19-31; 1:2.68).

Art Unit: 2854

Examiner notes that the phrase "for fabric printing" in the preamble is functional language. The ink of Uzukawa is capable of being used in fabric printing.

Referring to claim 2, Uzukawa teaches the ink, wherein the weight ratio between the pigment and the resin emulsion by solid basis is between 1:1.0 and 1:3.0. (See Example 11, Column 8, lines 19-31; 1:2.68).

Referring to claim 3, Uzukawa teaches the ink wherein the particles of the resin emulsion are produced in the liquid. (See Column 5, lines 10-16).

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 5. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 6. Claim 4 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Uzukawa et al (5120359) in view of Iida (6037391)
- Referring to claim 4, Uzukawa is silent as to whether the particles of the resin emulsion are spherical. Iida teaches ink wherein the particles of the resin emulsion are spherical. (See Column 1, lines 30-34) It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the ink of Uzukawa such that the particles are spherical in order to allow the ink to be discharged smoothly as taught by Iida.

Art Unit: 2854

8. Claim 5 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Uzukawa et al in view of Hiroto et al (JP H8-283636).

Uzukawa teaches erasable ink (See Abstract). Uzukawa does not teach a printing method, comprising: a printing step, in which printing is performed by causing ink to adhere to a fabric; and a fixing step, in which the ink for fabric printing applied to the fabric in the printing step is fixed to the fabric by heating. Hiroto teaches: a printing step, in which printing is performed by causing ink to adhere to a fabric; and a fixing step, in which the ink for fabric printing applied to the fabric in the printing step is fixed to the fabric by heating (See page 1, Applicant's Specification, lines 10-16). It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to use the ink of Uzukawa in the printing method as taught in Hiroto since the ink has sufficient fluidity as taught by Uzuakawa.

#### Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Andrea H. Evans whose telephone number is (571) 272-2162. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday- Friday; 8:30a-5:30p.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Andrew Hirshfeld can be reached on (571) 272-2168. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Application/Control Number: 10/773,207

Art Unit: 2854

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Andrea Hence Evans, ESQ

**AHE** 

ANDREW H. HIRSHFELD SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2800

Page 5